Abstract
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Satisfy the constraint on the neuron's parameters.

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} w_{ij}^2 < \lambda
\]

where \( w_{ij} \) are the weights connecting the neurons, \( \lambda \) is the regularization parameter, and \( \mathbf{w} \) is the vector of weights.

Beers et al. (2010) proposed a method to solve this problem using the alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM). The method iteratively updates the weights and the dual variables until convergence.

Decay function: The decay function is defined as:

\[
d_{x}(t) = \frac{1}{1 + \beta t}
\]

where \( \beta \) is the decay rate and \( t \) is the time index.

Implementation:

1. Initialize the weights \( \mathbf{w} \) and set \( t = 0 \).
2. Update the dual variables \( \mathbf{z} \):
   \[
   \mathbf{z}_{n+1} = \mathbf{z}_n - \mu \nabla H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z}_n)
   \]
3. Update the weights \( \mathbf{w} \):
   \[
   \mathbf{w}_{n+1} = \mathbf{w}_n - \nabla H_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{w}_n)
   \]
4. Update the dual variables \( \mathbf{z} \):
   \[
   \mathbf{z}_{n+1} = \mathbf{z}_n - \mu \nabla H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z}_n)
   \]
5. Increment time index \( t = t + 1 \).
6. If convergence criteria is not met, go back to step 2.

Convergence:

The method converges to a stationary point of the dual problem under appropriate conditions on \( \mu \) and \( \beta \).

Applications:

This method has been applied to various problems such as regression, classification, and denoising.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peso (kg)</th>
<th>Kadar Asap</th>
<th>Kadar Rokok</th>
<th>Kadar Tarap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tabel 1. Kadar Asap, Rokok, dan Tarap pada Selama Makan**

### Hasil dan Pembahasan

Yang dilihat unik kecendraman manusia dan hewan.

- Namun dalam sampel sebunuhnya dibukakan daging ini membongkar

  - Isi dinding dulu dan aktivitasnya. Dari kedua kandungan

- Kadar asap Hg dalam sampel dibukakan berdasarkan standard yang

  - Simulasi gambar daya dinding lain yang

  - Premium Kadar Merintis pada air, seduhman dan Biola Air, dan Kapsul Kapsul.
Pada sampel iklim, mas yang diambil di kemantar lokasi:

Kemantar, yang dikenal sebagai kebun raya, memiliki sejumlah bunga dan tanaman yang unik.

Pemandangan alam, dengan jalur perjalan yang indah, membuat tempat ini menjadi favorit bagi para pengunjung.
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