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Abstract
The study aims at identifying the difference on the effectiveness between the Quantum Teaching Learning Model and the Expository Learning Model in improving the students’ critical thinking skills and concept understanding in Social Science. The method that has been selected is the quasi-experimental research using the non-equivalent pre-test and post-test control group design. Then, the subjects in the study are 22 students of Grade IV Gunung Raja State Elementary School as the experimental group and 23 students of Grade IV Pulau Sari 1 State Elementary School as the control group from the Academic Year 2015/2016. The selection of the experimental group and the control group is based on the students’ similar characteristics with random approach. Based on the results of the random sampling technique implementation, the students from Gunung Sari State Elementary High School is provided with the Quantum Teaching Learning Model (experimental group) while the students from Pulau Sari 1 State Elementary High School is provided with the expository learning model (control group). In analyzing the data that have been gathered, the researcher has conducted the independent sample t-test and the paired sample t-test with SPSS 23 for Windows. The results of the study show that the Quantum Teaching Learning Model has been more effective in comparison to the Expository Learning Model for improving the students’ critical thinking skills with significance value 0.000 < 0.050. In the same time, the results of the study also show that the Quantum Teaching Learning Model has been more effective in comparison to the Expository Learning Model for improving the students’ Social Science concept understanding with significance value 0.000 < 0.050.
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Introduction
A nation of success is a nation that holds the capacity to benefit all resources that have been available including the human ones. Departing from the statement, in order to empower the Indonesian human resources, as having been mandated in the Law of National Education System Number 20 of 2003, education aims at developing the capacity and the shaping the characteristics of a dignified nation in order to brighten the life of the nation and to develop the potentials of the students so that they will be faithful, pious, noble, health, knowledgeable, capable, creative, independent, democratic and responsible citizen.

Through education, a nation strives to achieved advancement on multiple domains such as education, economy, social, politics, knowledge and technology. Through education, a nation also strives to achieve certain objectives and aspiration that have been dreamed of. Then, education involves a learning process between teachers and students; without one of them, like the students for example, the learning process will certainly be incomplete. In other words, it might be inferred that education refers to the activities that individuals, group of individuals or institutions perform in order to assist other...
In order to achieve that end, the government has held several educational programs by opening more educational degrees from elementary school level to university level. The reason is that school is a wide teaching system that contains the components of learning process, curriculum, learning model, media, teaching system and learning evaluation in addition to teachers and students. All of these components are connected from one to another. Not to mention, there are also other components that might contribute significant impact to the learning process within the school namely learning objective, learning method, learning media and display, and also learning results evaluation.

Despite the efforts by the government, in the practice not all teachers in a school might implement the learning process in a normative and ideal manner; for example, a teacher tends to be passive in creating an enjoyable learning situation. On certain learning materials in a school the learning process might be well implemented but might not be well implemented in another school. In addition, different teachers might conduct different learning process and thus lead to different learning results. Similar situation has also been experienced by Gunung Raja State Elementary School in the County of Tanah Laut, the Province of South Borneo. In the second semester of Academic Year 2014/2015, the learning process of Social Science under the material “The Development of Production, Communication and Transportation Technology” that has been implemented under the Educational Unit Level Curriculum (KTSP, Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan) provides a description that there are still several obstacles that lead to the low scores on Social Science especially with regards to the critical thinking skills and the Social Science concept understanding. From the results of observation and interview, it is apparent that the learning model that has been implemented within the learning process is the Expository Learning Model which is teacher-centered. As a result, generally the teacher’s assessment is still product-oriented by providing the students with direct learning experience through the mastery of Social Science critical thinking skills and concept understanding.

Knowledge is not transferred but instead knowledge is built based on experiences within the learning process in which a teacher should be able to play his or her role as a good facilitator for having interaction with the students and applying his or her knowledge into events, minds, feelings and experiences within the context of the daily life. After the connection has been established between the teachers and the students, the teachers will be able to lead the students into their world easily. Then, one of the ways for maximizing the learning process is designing and implementing active, innovative, creative, effective and enjoyable lesson plan and learning process. Such design and implementation is obligatory for uncovering and molding the students’ potentials. According to Piaget (Shaffer & Kupp, 2010, pp.253-277), in order to uncover and mold their potentials the students should go through the following four stages of development: (1) sensoric-motoric stage (0-2 years old); (2) pre-operational stage (2-7 years old); (3) concrete operational stage (7-11 years old); and (4) formal operational stage (11-12 years old). Furthermore, Piaget (Santrock, 2012, p.329) states that in the final stage of development the students will be able to perform concrete operation and logical reasoning as long as the reasoning might be applied into the specific or concrete example. Specific to the context of the study, the respondents in the study, namely the Grade IV students, fall into the concrete operational stage because their range of age is 7-11 years old.

Teachers should be able to innovate and to create interesting classroom situation for the students in order that conducive learning process might be created in the efforts of improving the students’ critical thinking skills. According to Dewey (Fisher, 2009, p.2), critical thinking is an active process in which an individual do not only immediately accept the ideas and the information provided by other people but also thinks about the ideas and the information in deeper contemplation by means of interpretation, investigation and verification. Teachers have a very vital role in the domain of education; they hold the moral responsibility for turning the students into individuals with critical thinking skills. Furthermore, Apriani & Wangid (2015, p.16) state that the good elements should be internalized into the students as early as possible in order to serve as a guideline in reasoning, communicating and behaving process throughout the life. With regards to the statement, critical thinking skills aim at improving the students’ activeness in interpreting, analyzing and even manipulating information that they have retrieved previously so that the information will not be monotonous. Thereby, critical thinking skills will appear by the
time the students have been able to associate the new information with the information that they have memorized and also to connect or re-arrange and even to develop the new information in order to achieve an objective or to solve a problem under a difficult situation. In other words, with the mastery of critical thinking skills the students are expected to not immediately retrieve certain information without filtering it (Anindyta & Suwarjo, 2014, p.11). Then, if the critical thinking skills are developed in the elementary school degree continuously then the fundamental capacity of critical thinking skills will be gradually established and thus the students will be more sensitive and active during the learning process.

With regards to the previous elaboration, the successful learning process of Social Science in the elementary school might be observed from the level of concept understanding. Consequently, level of concept understanding becomes an important element in the teaching activities since concept understanding will facilitate students to identify, master, understand and describe the meaning of the concept that has been taught in the learning process. By doing so, the students are able to head toward the rate of benefitting what they have understood. The higher the level of concept understanding, materials mastery and problem-solving capacity is, the higher the rate of successful learning process for Social Science will be. In relation to the statement, good teachers are the ones who have been able to create enjoyable and comfortable learning situations so that students might develop numerous competencies, activities and self-potentials during the teaching-learning activities. Departing from the existing facts and problems, and in order to develop the students’ potentials on the Social Science critical thinking skills and concept understanding, a learning model that might improve the students’ activeness in the learning process and in the same time create different learning situation is of utmost importance in order that the students will not be bored during the learning process.

In relation to the learning model, Quantum Teaching Learning Model in the elementary school degree is very necessary to perform. The reason is that the Quantum Teaching Learning Model offers new ideas with regards to how to create far better and more promising environment for the students within the learning process so that the gap among the students might be minimized and even be eliminated.

Exercising the students’ critical thinking skills by means of Quantum Teaching Learning Model in the elementary school degree is very necessary to perform. The reason is that the Quantum Teaching Learning Model offers new ideas with regards to how to create far better and more promising environment for the students within the learning process so that the gap among the students might be minimized and even be eliminated.

Exercising the students’ critical thinking skills by means of Quantum Teaching Learning Model in the elementary school degree is very necessary to perform. The reason is that the Quantum Teaching Learning Model offers new ideas with regards to how to create far better and more promising environment for the students within the learning process so that the gap among the students might be minimized and even be eliminated.

An innovation within the implementation of learning model should provide flexibility for the students to be active within the learning process, especially in developing their concept understanding. Villafane (2011, p.102) explains that therefore to be successful students must be able to make connections between the new information and their existing knowledge. New understanding or information that students have retrieved are an important element in the learning process. In accordance to the importance of new understanding or information, the Quantum
The Quantum Teaching Learning Model is able to develop the students’ concept understanding, including the one in the domain of Social Science, so that the students will not easily forget the relevant concepts that they have learned. In the same time, the Quantum Teaching Learning Model is able to encourage the students to be more active and independent in accordance to the statement by Kosasih & Sumarna (2013, p.89) who have found that the Quantum Teaching is an innovative learning model that sets the orientation toward the students and is highly effective as well because the learning model is able to improve the students’ learning achievement significantly. Furthermore, Jaelani (Suryani, Sari, Milfayetty & Dirhamsyah, 2014, p.39) states that the interaction that occurs in the Quantum Teaching Learning Model is highly necessary in creating enjoyable learning moments through the adjustment toward the surrounding environment; by doing so, the students’ understanding might be elicited. The role of both teachers and students in learning process is complementary. Teachers serve to translate the learning contents into the necessary information for understanding the learning materials through a series of appropriate learning activities. Then, the translation of the content should be in accordance to the cognitive concrete aspect of numerous learning strategies that might be beneficial and enjoyable for the students in order to achieve the objective of active, effective and enjoyable learning process. Eventually, the teachers will be able to understand the students’ situations by mastering the materials that they should deliver to the students.

As having been discussed, the Quantum Teaching Learning Model is able to create enjoyable learning situations for the students under the principle of meaningfulness while in the same time affords to enter the students’ world of joy so that the learning situations will be able to deliver meaningful messages into the world. If this idea is implemented constantly then conducive and enjoyable learning situations will be established under the students’ learning objective and cutscore. Furthermore, according to Kusno (2011, p.84), the Quantum Learning Teaching Model is able to encourage the student to think realistically and creatively, to be able to execute planning and to identify and condition the learning process ideally and optimistically in order to achieve the learning success; consequently, the teachers will merely serve as a facilitator so that the students will not deviate from the learning concept that has been planned. Then, the implementation of Quantum Teaching Learning Model is known by the following term: TANDUR (Tumbuhkan or Develop, Alami or Experience, Namai or Name, Demonstrasikan or Demonstrate, Ulangi or Repeat and Rayakan or Celebrate) (Wena, 2013, pp.165-166). Then, the term TANDUR might elaborated into several stages within the implementation of Quantum Teaching Learning Model and the stages are as follows: (1) developing the students’ interest to attend learning process (Tumbuhkan or Develop); (2) facilitating the learning process to attain learning experiences by means of experiment (Alami or Experience); (3) guiding the students to draw conclusions or verify based on the information and the facts that have been found (Namai or Name); (4) providing opportunities for the students to present the results of the groupwork activities that they have performed (Demonstrasikan or Demonstrate); (5) directing the students to re-internalize the knowledge that they have mastered into certain problems in order to strengthen the neural network into the concept understanding of Social Science and to perform the students’ critical thinking skills (Ulangi or Repeat); and (6) holding celebrations as part of positive feedback upon the students’ efforts during the learning process (Rayakan or Celebrate).

Departing from the overall elaboration, it is deemed necessary that a study on the different rate of effectiveness between the Quantum Teaching Learning Model and the Expository Learning Model for improving the students’ critical thinking skills and concept understanding in Social Science should be pursued. With regards to the study, the subjects will be the students of Grade IV Gunung Raja State Elementary School, the County of Tanah Laut, the Province of South Borneo.

Method

The study relied on the use of quantitative approach, specifically the quasi-experimental research, with pre-test and non-equivalent pre-test and post-test control group design. The subjects in the study were 22 students from Grade IV Gunung Raja State Elementary School as the experimental group and 23 students from Grade IV Pulau Sari 1 State Elementary School as the control group; all of these students were selected randomly in order to participate into the study. Then, the study took place in the beginning of the second semester of Academic Year 2015/2016 or
specifically from March until April 2016. There were three variables that had been assigned within the conduct of the study namely the Quantum Teaching Learning Model as the independent variable and also the students’ Social Science critical thinking skills and the students’ Social Science concept understanding with Expository Learning Model as the comparison.

The data for the study were gathered by means of test administration. In order to assess the students’ Social critical thinking skills and concept understanding, a multiple choice-type test item had been developed and adjusted to the cognitive degree of the students in relation to the Quantum Teaching Learning Model. The test consisted of two stages namely the pre-test stage and the post-test stage. Both stages should be performed in order to assess the improvement of the students’ critical thinking skills and concept understanding in Social Science at the end of the learning process.

The validity of the instrument within the study was based on the content validity; the content validity was assessed by designing the guidelines of the instrument and sending the guidelines to the expert judgment. After the validators (the material experts) in the expert judgment stage had an agreement over the guidelines of the instrument, the instrument was sent to the experimental group and the control group for experiment during the pre-test and the post-test stage. The results of the test then served as the basis of item selection with criterion r > 0.30 (Azwar, 2012, p.16). In addition, the validity of the instrument is also based on the results reliability test by referring to the coefficient of Cronbach’s Alpha. Next, the study proceeded as follows: (1) the researcher conducted the pre-survey activities and asked for the study permit to both state elementary schools; (2) the researcher designed and tested the instrument; (3) the researcher held a coordination meeting with the relevant teachers and presented the Quantum Teaching Learning Model and its impact on the improvement of the students’ critical thinking skills and concept understanding in Social Science; (4) the researcher developed the Quantum Teaching Learning Model for the experimental group and the Expository Learning Model for the control group along with the relevant teachers; (5) the researcher performed the pre-test stage and continued to the experiment; (6) the researcher performed the post-test stage after the treatment had been completed; and (7) the researcher analyzed the data from the students’ critical thinking skills and concept understanding in Social Science.

The data that had been gathered were analyzed descriptively and inferentially. The descriptive analysis was performed in order to present the data from the preliminary condition (pre-test stage) and the final condition (post-test stage) for the students’ critical thinking skills and concept understanding in Social Science. In order to describe the data well, the aspects of descriptive statistics such as mean, median, variance, minimum score and maximum score were presented in tables. On the contrary, the inferential analysis was performed in order to test the hypotheses in the study; however, prior to conducting the hypothesis test the researcher should perform the analysis prerequisite test that consisted of normality test and homogeneity test.

The hypothesis test itself employed the t-test data analysis namely the paired sample t-test and the independent sample t-test with the assistance by SPSS 23 for Windows. The paired sample t-test was performed in order to assess improvement on the students’ critical thinking skills and concept understanding in Social Science or not. The assessment on the improvement was based on the influence of each learning model, namely the Quantum Teaching Learning Model and the Expository Learning Model, that might be described from the results of both the pre-test stage and the post-test stage. On the other hand, the independent sample t-test was performed in order to assess the effectiveness of the Quantum Teaching Learning Model for the experimental group and the Expository Learning Group for improving the students’ critical thinking skills and concept understanding in Social Science.

**Results and Discussions**

**Descriptive Analysis**

The descriptive analysis is performed in order to present the data that has been attained from the pre-test stage and the post-test stage between the experimental group and the control group with regards to the improvement of students’ critical thinking skills and concept understanding in Social Science. The elements of the descriptive analysis that have been used consist of mean, median, deviation standard, variance, minimum score and maximum score. The results of the descriptive analysis from the pre-test stage with regards to the students’ critical
thinking skills in Social Science might be consulted in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. The Histogram for the Mean Score in the Pre-Test Stage of Students’ Critical Thinking Skills in Social Science](image)

From the results that have been described in Figure 1, it is apparent that the pre-test score of the students’ critical thinking skills between the experimental group and the control group is 53.40 and 48.69 respectively. The results of the students’ critical thinking skills from both groups are analyzed further by using the independent sample t-test in order to confirm that both groups do not have significantly similar gap since the experimental group has been treated by using the Quantum Teaching Learning Model while the control group has been treated by using the Expository Learning Model.

Next, the results of descriptive analysis for the post-test stage with regards to the students’ critical thinking skills in Social Science might be consulted in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. The Histogram for the Mean Score in the Post-Test Stage of Students’ Critical Thinking Skills in Social Science](image)

Based on the results in Figure 3, it is apparent that post-test score of the students’ critical thinking skills between the experimental group and the control group is 87.50 and 65.43 respectively. The results of the students’ critical thinking skills from both groups are analyzed further by using the independent sample t-test in order to confirm that both groups do not have significantly similar gap since the experimental group has been treated by using the Quantum Teaching Learning Model while the control group has been treated by using the Expository Learning Model.

Last but not the least, the results of descriptive analysis for the post-test stage with regards to the students’ concept understanding in Social Science might be consulted in Figure 4.

![Figure 4. The Histogram for the Mean Score in the Post-Test Stage of Students’ Concept Understanding in Social Science](image)

From the results in Figure 4, it is apparent that the pre-test score of the students’ concept understanding between the experimental group and the control group is 48.78 and 38.26 respectively. The results of the students’ concept understanding from both groups are analyzed further by using the independent sample t-test in order to confirm that both groups do not have significantly similar gap since the experimental group has been treated by using the Quantum Teaching Learning Model while the control group has been treated by using the Expository Learning Model.
The normality test is performed by running the SPSS 23 for Windows under the following prerequisite: the rate of significance (α) is 0.05 if the decision making for the pre-test and the post-test value is higher than 0.05. The results of the normality test show that the rate of significance for the students’ critical thinking skills in the pre-test stage and the post-test stage is higher than 0.05 namely 0.125 (pre-test stage) and 0.682 (post-test stage). In the same time, the results of the normality test show that the significance value for the students’ concept understanding in the pre-test stage and the post-test stage is higher than 0.05 namely 0.522 (pre-test) and 0.147 (post-stage) while the significance value for the students’ concept understanding in the control group is 0.056 (pre-test) and 0.156 (post-test). Once again, since the significance value of both groups are higher than 0.05 it might be concluded that the data have been gathered from normally distributed population.

Next, the homogeneity test is conducted in the pre-test stage and the post-test stage in order to identify whether the samples that have been selected are from a population that has homogenous variable or not. The homogeneity test is performed by running the SPSS 23 for Windows under the following prerequisite: the rate of significance (α) is 0.05 if the decision making for the pre-test and the post-test value is higher than 0.05. The results of the homogeneity test show that the significance value for the students’ critical thinking skills in the pre-test stage and the post-test stage is higher than 0.05 namely 0.125 (pre-test stage) and 0.682 (post-test stage). In the same time, the results of the homogeneity test show that the significance value for the students’ concept understanding in the pre-test stage and the post-test stage is higher than 0.05 namely 0.522 (pre-test) and 0.147 (post-test). As a result, it might be concluded that the data for the students’ critical thinking skills and concept understanding are homogneous.

Results of Analysis Prerequisite Test

The normality test is conducted in the pre-test stage and the post-test stage of both the experimental group and the control group in order to identify whether the samples are from the normally distributed population or not. The prerequisite is as follows: the rate of significance (α) is 0.05 if the decision making for the pre-test and the post-test value is higher than 0.05. The normality test for pre-test stage and the post-test stage is performed by running the SPSS 23 for Windows program.

Based on the summary from the normality test of the pre-test stage and the post-stage, the significance value for the students’ concept understanding in the experimental group is 0.522 (pre-test) and 0.147 (post-stage) while the significance value for the students’ concept understanding in the control group is 0.056 (pre-test) and 0.156 (post-test). Once again, since the significance value of both groups are higher than 0.05 it might be concluded that the data have been gathered from normally distributed population. On the contrary, based on the summary from the normality test of the pre-test stage and the post-stage, the significance value for the students’ concept understanding in the experimental group is 0.522 (pre-test) and 0.147 (post-stage) while the significance value for the students’ concept understanding in the control group is 0.056 (pre-test) and 0.156 (post-test). Once again, since the significance value of both groups are higher than 0.05 it might be concluded that the data have been gathered from normally distributed population.
Based on the summary from the independent sample t-test in Table 1, the significance value in the pre-test score for both the experimental group and the control group is higher than 0.05 namely 0.174; as a result, Ho is accepted. Thus, it might be concluded that there is not any significant difference on the effectiveness of the students’ critical thinking skills between the experimental group and the control group. Thereby, from the perspective of the students’ critical thinking skills both the experimental group and the control group are equal for comparison with the assignment of two different treatments. On the contrary, based on the summary from the independent sample t-test in Table 1 the significance value in the post-test stage for both the experimental group and the control group is lower than 0.000; as a result, Ho is rejected. As a result, it might be concluded that there is significant difference on the effectiveness of the students’ critical thinking skills between the experimental group and the control group with the implementation of Quantum Teaching Learning Model for the experimental group and the Expository Learning Model for the control group. The independent sample t-test results show that the use of Quantum Teaching Learning Model is more effective in improving the students’ concept understanding in comparison to the use of Expository Learning Model with the post-test mean score 73.33 for the experimental group and the post-test mean score 46.66 for the control group.

Results of Paired Sample t-test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Data</th>
<th>t-count</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Test for Ex.</td>
<td>1.409</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05, Ho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Test for Ex.</td>
<td>6.998</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05, Ho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the summary in Table 3, the significance value of the paired sample t-test in the pre-test stage and the post-test stage for both the experimental group and the control group is lower than 0.05 namely 0.000; consequently, Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted. Thus, it might be concluded that there is significant difference on the effectiveness of the students’ critical thinking skills before and after the treatment namely the implementation of Quantum Teaching Learning Model for the experimental group and the implementation of Expository Learning Model for the control group. The improvement in the experimental group is 34.09 with the mean score 53.41 in the pre-test stage and the mean score 87.50 in the post-test stage while the improvement in the control group is 16.73 with the mean score 48.70 in the pre-test stage and the mean score 65.43 in the post-test stage. In other words, despite the fact that both learning models are able to improve the students’ critical thinking skills the Quantum Teaching Learning Model is more effective in improving the students’ concept understanding in comparison to the use of Expository Learning Model.
effective than the Expository Learning Model in improving the students’ critical thinking skills.

Table 4. Summary from the Results of Paired Sample t-test in the Pre-Test Stage and the Post-Test Stage for the Students’ Concept Understanding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CU</th>
<th>Pre-Test</th>
<th>Post-Test</th>
<th>t-count</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental Group</td>
<td>48.78</td>
<td>73.33</td>
<td>-5.122</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>38.26</td>
<td>46.66</td>
<td>-1.370</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the summary in Table 4, the significance value of the pre-test stage and the post-test stage in the experimental group is lower than 0.05 namely 0.000; consequently, Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted. Thus, it might be concluded that there is significant difference on the students’ concept understanding between the pre-test stage and the post-test stage with the implementation of Quantum Teaching Learning Model. On the contrary, from the summary in Table 4 the significance value of the pre-test stage and the post-test stage in the control group is higher than 0.05 namely 0.184; consequently, Ha is accepted and Ha is rejected. Thus, it might be concluded that there is not any significant difference on the students’ concept understanding between the pre-test stage and the post-test stage with the implementation of Expository Learning Model. Specifically, the improvement in the experimental group is 24.52 point with the mean score 48.78 in the pre-test stage and the mean score 24.52 in the post-test stage while the improvement in the control group is 8.40 point with the mean score 38.26 in the pre-test stage and the mean score 46.66 in the post-test stage. In overall, it might be concluded that both learning models are effective to improve the students’ concept understanding but the Quantum Teaching Learning Model has higher rate of effectiveness in comparison to the Expository Learning Model.

Discussions

The study is a quasi-experimental research that employs two groups as the sample and two learning models as the treatment namely the Quantum Teaching Learning Model and the Expository Learning Model. Both models are implemented in two different classrooms namely Grade IVA in Gunung Raja State Elementary School (for the implementation of Quantum Teaching Learning Model) and Grade IVA Pulau Sari I State Elementary School (for the implementation of Expository Learning Model). In testing the instrument, the researcher has conducted the running test in order to gather the equal preliminary data or the normally distributed and homogenous students’ capacity. After the students’ critical thinking skills and concept understanding have been analyzed, it is found the students from both classrooms have equal and homogenous capacity. The equal and homogenous capacity has been confirmed by the results of normality test and homogeneity test with the significance value 0.05.

Based on the results of the paired sample t-test for the students’ critical thinking skills in the pre-test stage and the post-test stage, the significance value of the experimental group is -10.767 (t = -10.767) with the rate of significance 0.000 (0.000 < 0.05). As a result, it might be concluded that there is significant difference on the effectiveness of the students’ critical thinking skills in the experimental group between the pre-test stage and the post-test stage with the Quantum Teaching Learning Model as the treatment. The difference is seen from the 16.73 point of improvement on the effectiveness of the students’ critical thinking skills in the pre-test stage and the post-test stage with the Quantum Teaching Learning Model as the treatment. The difference is seen from the 34.09 point of improvement between the pre-test mean score (53.41) and the post-test mean score (87.50). On the other hand, based on the results of the paired sample t-test for the students’ critical thinking skills in the pre-test stage and the post-test stage the significance value of the control group is -6.897 (t = -6.897) with the rate of significance 0.000 (0.000 < 0.05). Therefore, it might be concluded that there is significant difference on the effectiveness of the students’ critical thinking skills in the control group between the pre-test stage and the post-test stage with the Expository Learning Model as the treatment. The difference is seen from the 16.73 point of improvement between the pre-test mean score (48.70) and the post-test mean score (65.43).

Although the control group enjoys the improvement on the effectiveness of the students’ critical thinking skills before and after the learning process, the improvement is not higher than that of the experimental group. In other words, the implementation of Expository Learning Model in the learning process of Social Science has not been effective in comparison to the implementation of Quantum Teaching Learning Model. This finding is in line with the results of a study by Susiani (2013, p.3), which has found that the Quantum Teaching Learning Model puts emphasis on the significance of creating dynamic social relationship between the students and the teachers. Thereby, it might be
concluded that the Quantum Teaching Learning Model is more effective than the Expository Learning Model in improving the students’ critical thinking skills within the domain of Social Science.

In addition, the results of the paired sample t-test for the students’ concept understanding show that significance value of the experimental group is -5.122 (t = -5.122) with the rate of significance 0.000 < 0.05. Consequently, it might be concluded that there is difference on the effectiveness of the students’ concept understanding between the pre-test stage and the post-test stage with the Quantum Teaching Learning Model as the treatment. The difference is seen on the 24.52 point of improvement between the pre-test stage (48.78) and the post-test stage (73.30).

This finding is similar to the results of a study by Elmubarok (2009, p.22), which has found that Quantum Teaching Learning Model strives to change a monotonous learning situation into an enjoyable and fun learning situation by combining the students’ physical, mental and emotional potentials into an integral unity. The Quantum Teaching Learning Model puts emphasis on the cooperation between the students and the teachers in order to create a meaningful learning environment through optimum classroom interaction. In line with the statement, Surrachman (Rachmawati, 2012, p.479) states that the learning activities in the learning concept of Quantum Teaching Learning Model summarize events, ideas or feelings into a learning process in the form of discussion, demonstration, groupwork and assignment in accordance to the context of the elementary school students’ daily life.

On the contrary, the results of the paired sample t-test for the students’ concept understanding show that the significance value of the control group is -1.370 (t = -1.370) with the rate of significance 0.184 (0.184 > 0.05). As a result, it might be concluded that there is not any significant difference before and after the learning process with the Expository Learning Model as the treatment. The absence of the difference is seen from the low improvement point, namely 8.40, between the pre-test mean score (38.26) and the post-test mean score (46.66). The use of the Expository Learning Model for the concept understanding in the domain of Social Science seems to be less interesting for the students because this model is rather student-centred. This finding is in accordance to the results of a study by Hidayah & Pujiastuti (2016, p.187), which concludes that teacher-centred approach has been frequently implemented by the teachers in the form of lectures within the learning process and consequently the students’ capacity in socialization, critical thinking skills and scientific thinking skills become less developed. In other words, it might be concluded that the Expository Learning Model is not effective for improving the students’ concept understanding within the domain of Social Science. Eventually, it might be concluded further that the Quantum Teaching Learning Model is more effective for improving the students’ critical thinking skills and concept understanding in the domain of Social Science. The effectiveness might be traced back on the comparison between the performance of Quantum Teaching Learning Model in the Grade IV students of Gunung Raja State Elementary School, the County of Tanah Laut, the Province of South Borneo and the performance of Expository Learning Model in the Grade IV Students of Pulau Sari I State Elementary School, the County of Tanah Laut, the Province of South Borneo.

Conclusions

Based on the results of the study and the discussions, there are two main conclusions that might be drawn. First, the Quantum Teaching Learning Model is able to more effective for improving the students’ critical thinking skills in the domain of Social Science. Second, the Quantum Teaching Learning Model is more effective for improving the students’ concept understanding in the domain of Social Science.
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