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Abstract
Companies are constantly trying to maintain customer trust and loyalty in an effort to preserve business sustainability. Implementing environmental concerns in the form of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is expected to achieve both of these aims. This study aims to analyze the roles of CSR, customer trust, and customer loyalty in business sustainability. Questionnaires were filled by 10 customers from each of 100 Rural Banks spread over 9 districts/cities in Bali, Indonesia. Data analysis was performed with SmartPLS 3.3.2 software. The research found that CSR, customer trust, and customer loyalty have a significant impact on business sustainability. The results also found that customer trust and customer loyalty function as mediation in the relationship between CSR and business sustainability.
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INTRODUCTION
Sustainability is an integral business target constantly strived toward by company managers. Growth is also sought after; growth in general and growth across business periods. Company leaders apply various strategies to achieve these attributes for their businesses, including the development and maintenance of customer trust. Certain businesses, such as banks and other financial institutions, mostly aim to develop robust customer loyalty to prevent customers being easily persuaded to turn to competing companies.
Rural Banks were chosen as the object of this research because from 2016 to 2019, three were unable to survive, indicating that Rural Bank business sustainability decreased from year to year. Studies have proven that customer trust and loyalty can maintain the sustainability of an institution/company/organization. The results of Ong and Zien's (2015) research on a number of SMEs in Malaysia found that their sustainability was largely determined by the level of trust that their customers held. Similar results were also found in research conducted by Nguyen et al. (2013) and Upamannyu et al. (2015). Kang and Hustvedt (2014) stated that trust is the key to building a market; an opinion reinforced by Zien et al. (2017), who concluded that trust can lead to social sustainability.

The level of customer trust in a company or organization is shown through customers' intent in their relation (loyalty) to the company. The more often someone uses a company's products, the higher their loyalty. Higher customer loyalty can be a direct consequence of higher trust in the company's products (Brilliant & Achyar, 2013). Taoketa (2018) states that in the sustainable marketing of a product, customer trust ranks first in importance for marketing considerations. Hossain (2019) also agrees that trust is a dominant factor in shaping customer loyalty. This opinion is also in line with the results of research conducted by Leninkumar (2017), which found that customer trust had a significant positive effect on customer loyalty in commercial banks in Sri Lanka. The results of other studies found a significant positive influence between customer trust and customer loyalty, namely Nur (2012), Sarwar et al. (2012), Utami (2015), Leninkumar (2017), Trini and Salim (2018), and Widodo and Murwatiningsih (2019). On the other hand, a study conducted by Setiawan and Sayuti (2017) found that customer trust had no significant effect on customer loyalty.

Several studies have proven that loyalty is important for company success, profitability, and long-term sustainability (Strenitzerová & Gaña, 2018). Aslam et al. (2020) stated that loyalty is a key factor in obtaining benefits and organizational growth in the long term. Additionally, Ong and Zien (2015) stated that customer trust and loyalty are very important to ensure sustainable income and profits as well as overall business sustainability in the long term.

In addition to these statements, a number of studies conclude that a company's social concern for the community (often referred to as Corporate Social Responsibility or CSR) can foster customer trust and loyalty (Azmat & Ha, 2013; Choi & La, 2013; Vlachos et al., 2009). Cegliński and Wiśniewska (2016) reinforce this idea, stating that CSR activities carried out by companies can be a source of many benefits that can increase customer confidence and the company's competitive advantage.

Málovics et al. (2008) stated that CSR is becoming increasingly important in today's business life, especially for its contribution to business sustainability. Similar opinions were also expressed by Kitthananan (2010), Teodorescu and Ionescu (2014), Mourougan (2015), and Grigoris (2016). Lu et al. (2020) took a granular approach and found that each of the dimensions of CSR separately affect elements of competitiveness.

A study conducted by Leninkumar (2017) found that customer trust had a significant positive effect on customer loyalty in commercial banks in Sri Lanka. This approach is
integral to banks as they must constantly work to maintain customer loyalty and customer trust. The researcher thus aims to confirm the impact of CSR on customer trust, customer loyalty, and business sustainability on the declining Rural Bank network in Indonesia. Dual mediations of customer trust and customer loyalty are considered the novelty of this study.

**Theoretical Review, Previous Research, and Hypothesis**

**Business Sustainability**

Company leaders have a large responsibility in efforts to maintain business sustainability (Gonzalez et al., 2013). Business sustainability can be defined as a company's ability to utilize resources to survive various situations (Szekely & Knirsch, 2005). Schaltegger and Burritt (2005) stated that business sustainability is a broad concept consisting of various characteristics, especially those related to the contextual integration of economic, environmental, and social aspects.

The sustainability of a company is determined by complex factors, both internal and external. Internal factors that can affect business sustainability include support for corporate resources including human resources and leadership patterns (Sarmawa et al. 2020), corporate governance, and environmental/corporate climate management. External factors include customer trust and loyalty, socio-economic conditions, and government participation.

**Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)**

The definition often cited in the research is that from Carroll (1979): CSR is the responsibility of the company towards the environment and society and includes elements of economy, law, ethics, and wisdom. Fontaine (2013) states that CSR is a strategy for how to align business values and behavior with the expectations and needs of stakeholders; not only customers and investors, but also employees, suppliers, communities, regulators, special interest groups, and society as a whole.

According to Mourougan (2015), CSR is understood as the way companies integrate social, environmental, and economic problems into their values, culture, decision-making, strategies, and operations in a transparent and accountable manner to build better practices within the company, in-turn creating wealth and improving society. CSR is a company initiative to assess and take responsibility for the company's impact on the environment and its impact on social welfare (Teodorescu & Ionescu, 2014).

CSR is seen as having an important role in business sustainability (Málovics et al., 2008). CSR is also considered to play an important role in gaining a sustainable advantage over competitors, gaining profits, and improving business dealings with customers (Afridi et al, 2018). It is a business model that encourages business contributions to sustainable development, and creating a balance between economic interests, environmental, and social needs (Behringer & Szegedi, 2016). According to Grigoris (2016), CSR has become a successful way to gain a competitive advantage and ensure the capacity for long-term value. According to Kitthanananan (2010) and Teodorescu and Ionescu (2014), CSR is a
business strategy to achieve long-term sustainability. More explicitly, Duthler and Dhanesh (2018) state that CSR is synonymous with sustainability.

Several studies have proven that CSR has a significant positive effect on business sustainability. Strand et al. (2015), in their research in Scandinavia, found that CSR had a significant positive effect on business sustainability. Similar results were also found in research conducted by Ngai et al (2018), where CSR had a significant positive effect on business sustainability in gas companies in China. Based on this argument, the following research hypothesis was built:

Hypothesis 1: CSR has a significant positive effect on business sustainability.

Customer Trust

Trust is very important in every aspect of social life (Gucel et al., 2012). Customer trust in a product or in the company itself is also important for the sustainability of the company. Consumers who have high confidence in a product tend to make repeated purchases and recommend the product to others, cultivating a strong relationship between company and consumer. This aligns with Wu et al. (2010): trust is an important factor in achieving a successful marketing relationship. This is further reinforced by Upamannya et al. (2015), which states that increasing customer trust can lead to higher company profitability and, thus, sustainable growth. Rousseau et al. (1998) and Singh and Sirdeshmukh (2000) stated that customer trust is very important to build and maintain such relationships long term. This statement is in line with the results of Yu et al. (2018), which demonstrated that customer trust has a significant positive effect on business sustainability. Based on these arguments, the following research hypothesis was built:

Hypothesis 2: Customer trust has a significant positive effect on business sustainability.

Properly implemented CSR can lead to increases in customer trust (Vlachos et al., 2009). Many companies try to implement CSR to attract public trust, especially that of customers (Azmat & Ha, 2013). The results of the research of Swaen and Chumpitaz (2008) and Choi and La (2013) found that CSR significantly positively affects customer trust. The same results were also found in research conducted by Kang and Hustvedt (2014): CSR significantly positively affects customer trust and attitudes towards the company. Research by Cegliński and Wiśniewska (2016) and Jalilvand et al. (2017) also found that CSR affects customer trust in a significantly positive manner. Referring to the findings of the research results, the following research hypothesis was built:

Hypothesis 3: Customer trust is positive significantly influenced by CSR.

Customer Loyalty

In general, customer loyalty means the intention to repeatedly purchase a company’s products or services (Khan, 2013). According to Markovic et al. (2018), customer loyalty is a customer's intention to repurchase products and services as well as make referrals to other people. Gremler and Brown (1999) divide customer loyalty into three different categories: behavioral, intentional, and emotional. Krumay and Brandtweiner (2010)
revealed that customer loyalty is one of the key factors in the success of a company. The same thing was expressed by Khadka and Maharjan (2017): customer loyalty is one of the keys to a company's successes and profits in the long run. Utami (2015) stated that customer loyalty can create a competitive advantage and increase market share and profit in the long term. In addition, customer loyalty plays an important role in ensuring a competitive advantage as well as retaining existing customers rather than acquiring new ones (Roy, 2011). Ayodele and Esiti (2016) explicitly stated that customer loyalty can increase a company's competitive advantage, which in-turn gives it potential to continue to grow sustainably. Based on these arguments, the following research hypothesis was built:

Hypothesis 4: Customer loyalty has a significant positive effect on business sustainability.

The customer loyalty a company or product has garnered can also be determined by its levels of customer trust. Customer trust is thus considered a predictor of customer loyalty (Bibb & Kourdi, 2007; Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001; Gul, 2014; Hsu, 2008; Liang & Wang, 2007). Ndubisi (2007) and Taylor et al. (2004) also state that trust is an important factor in building company–customer relationships and ultimately fostering customer loyalty. Better customer trust leads to better attitudes and loyalty (Moreira & Silva, 2015). This argument is in line with the research results of Sarwar et al. (2012). Ayodele and Esiti (2016) shows that trust has a significant positive effect on customer loyalty. Other studies have found that customer trust in a company greatly influences the repurchasing of that company's products (Chiu et al., 2008; Qureshi et al., 2009; Upamannya et al., 2015). Utami (2015) found that customer trust has a significant positive effect on customer loyalty. Based on this argument, the following research hypothesis was built:

Hypothesis 5: Customer loyalty is positive significantly influenced by customer trust.

Besides being influenced by customer trust, customer loyalty can also be influenced by CSR. Vlachos et al. (2009), in their research, found that direct CSR has a significant positive effect on customer loyalty. The same conclusion was drawn from research conducted by Choi and La (2013). More explicitly, CSR is considered a key variable in restoring customer loyalty. The results of research by Azmat and Ha (2013) found that CSR can create a positive image and reputation, which in-turn generates customer loyalty. This statement is supported by research conducted by Diallo and Lambey-Checchin (2016) and Al-Abdallah and Ahmed (2018) in Qatar, where CSR activities were found to have a direct and significant positive effect on customer loyalty. This finding is also supported by the research results of Afridi et al. (2018) who found that CSR has a significant positive effect on customer loyalty. Al-Ghamdi and Badawi (2019) explicitly stated that corporate CSR has a strong and positive influence on customer loyalty. Based on the findings of the study, the following research hypothesis was built:

Hypothesis 6: Customer loyalty is significantly positively influenced by CSR.
Many previous studies have concluded that CSR significantly affects customer trust (Cegliński & Wiśniewska, 2016; Choi & La, 2013; Jalilvand et al., 2017; Kang & Hustvedt, 2014; Swaen & Chumpitaz, 2008). In addition, it has been found that the relationship between customer trust and business sustainability is significant (Rousseau, et al., 1998; Singh & Sirdeshmukh, 2000; Upamannya et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2018). Based on these findings and drawing from Baron and Kenny (1987), it can be said that the customer has mediating potential in the relationship between CSR and business sustainability. Referring to these findings, the following research hypothesis was built:

Hypothesis 7: Customer trust is significantly positive as a mediator in the relationship between CSR and business sustainability.

Many previous studies have shown that CSR has a significant effect on customer loyalty (Al-Abdallah & Ahmed, 2018; Al-Ghamdi & Badawi, 2019; Afridi et al., 2018; Azmat & Ha, 2013; Choi & La, 2013; Diallo & Lambe-Checchin, 2016; Vlachos et al., 2009). Likewise, customer loyalty can affect business sustainability (Ayodele & Esiti, 2016; Khadka & Maharjan, 2017; Krumay & Brandtweiner, 2010; Roy, 2011; Utami, 2015). Based on these findings, and considering the concept of Baron & Kenny (1987), it can be stated that customer loyalty can act as a mediator in the relationship between CSR and business sustainability. Based on the results of the study, the following research hypothesis was built:

Hypothesis 8: Customer loyalty is positive significantly as a mediator in the relationship between CSR and business sustainability.

As stated in building Hypothesis 3, CSR has a significant effect on customer trust (Cegliński & Wiśniewska, 2016; Choi & La, 2013; Jalilvand et al., 2017; Kang & Hustvedt, 2014; Swaen & Chumpitaz, 2008). Hypothesis 5 is based on the idea that customer trust also has a significant effect on customer loyalty (Ayodele & Esiti, 2016; Chiu et al., 2008; Qureshi et al., 2009; Sarwar et al., 2012; Upamannya et al., 2015). At the same time, as shown in Hypothesis 4, customer loyalty also significantly affects business sustainability (Ayodele & Esiti, 2016; Khadka & Maharjan, 2017; Krumay & Brandtweiner, 2010; Roy, 2011; Utami, 2015). Referring to these findings and based on the concept of Baron and Kenny (1987), it can be argued that customer trust and customer loyalty together act as mediators in the relationship between corporate social sustainability and business sustainability. Thus, the following research hypothesis was built:

Hypothesis 9: Customer trust and customer loyalty together are positive significant as a mediator on CSR towards business sustainability.
Research Concept Framework

The research concept framework is illustrated in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Concept Framework of the Relationship between CSR, Customer Trust, Customer Loyalty, and Business Sustainability](image)

**METHOD**

**Design, Population, and Research Samples**

This research was designed as a quantitative study to confirm the relationship between CSR, customer trust, customer loyalty, and business sustainability at Rural Banks in Bali, Indonesia. The total number of banks studied was 134, spread across 9 regencies/cities in Bali. The number of Rural Banks used as research samples was determined by the Slovin formula, with a precision of 5%. The results of this calculation were as follows:

\[
n = \frac{N}{1 + Ne^2}
\]

\[
n = \frac{134}{1 + (134 \times 0.052)}
\]

\[
n = 100.37 \text{ (rounded to 100)}
\]

The number proportions of Rural Banks in Bali and research respondents in each regency were determined using the proportionate random sampling method, and are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number of Banks</th>
<th>Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Badung</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bangli</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Buleleng</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Gianyar</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Jembrana</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Karangasem</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Klungkung</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tabanan</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Denpasar</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Analysis Technique

The validity and reliability testing phase:

The data validity test was conducted through convergent validity and discriminant validity. Convergent validity testing was based on the outer loading coefficient, where a set of data is declared valid if the coefficient is 0.50 or more (> 0.50), and significant at a p-value of 0.05 (Chin, 1998). Testing the validity of data based on discriminant validity was shown by comparing the root value of Average Variance Extracted (AVE) with the correlation value between variables. The AVE coefficient must be greater than 0.50 (Bagozzi & Yi, 1988). Meanwhile, reliability testing was based on Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability coefficients. It is said to be reliable if the data set has a greater Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability coefficient than 0.70 (Hair et al., 2010).

Model accuracy testing phase:

The testing of the accuracy of the model was conducted through the R-square coefficient ($R^2$), Q-Square Predictive Relevance ($Q^2$), and Goodness of Fit (GoF).

Hypothesis testing stage:

Hypotheses were accepted if the p-value of the path coefficient was less than 0.05.

FINDING AND DISCUSSION

Validity and Reliability

The results of data analysis carried out with the SmartPLS 3.3.2 program (the value of the outer loading of each indicator) are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Outer loading Coef.</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relationships between</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>employees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Trust</td>
<td>Understanding of work</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Openness of communication</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Work competence</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buyback</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Loyalty</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommended to others</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Sustainability</td>
<td>Customer</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Product</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governance</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 demonstrates that all variable indicators were convergent valid because they had an outer loading coefficient greater than 0.50 and significant. The discriminant validity test is shown in Table 3.

### Table 3. Discriminant Validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>AVE/VAVE</th>
<th>CSR</th>
<th>Customer Trust</th>
<th>Customer Loyalty</th>
<th>Business Sustainability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>0.873/0.934</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Trust</td>
<td>0.793/0.891</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Loyalty</td>
<td>0.943/0.971</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Sustainability</td>
<td>0.810/0.900</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows that all research variables were discriminantly valid, indicated by the value of the correlations between variables being greater than the root value of AVE.

Finally, the research variable reliability testing, indicated by the coefficient of Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability, is shown in Table 4.

### Table 4. Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Trust</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Loyalty</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Sustainability</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows that all research variables were reliable, indicated by the coefficient of Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability being greater than 0.70. Validity and reliability testing demonstrated that all research data were valid and reliable.

### Model Accuracy Test

Testing the accuracy of the research model was carried out through the R-Square coefficient ($R^2$), Q-Square Predictive Relevance ($Q^2$), and Goodness of Fit (GoF). The analysis results represented in Table 5 show the values of $R^2$.

### Table 5. R-Square ($R^2$) Coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>R-Square ($R^2$)</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer Trust</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Loyalty</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Sustainability</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 shows the results of the analysis of the effect of CSR on customer trust. An $R^2$ value of 0.652 means that 65.2% of customer trust was influenced by CSR, and the rest was influenced by another factor. The effect of CSR and customer loyalty was indicated by an $R^2$ value of 0.709, which means that 70.9% of customer loyalty was influenced by customer trust and CSR, and the rest was influenced by another factor. Meanwhile, 80.9% of business sustainability was influenced by CSR, customer
trust, and customer loyalty; the rest were influenced by other factors not included in this research model. This result, through the value of $R^2$, shows the influence of CSR, customer trust, and customer loyalty on business sustainability. Based on Cohen’s (1988) criteria for the strength and weakness of influence between variables, it can be stated that this research model had a high degree of accuracy.

Evaluation of fit models based on $Q^2$ Predictive Relevance were calculated with the following formulations:

$$Q_2 = 1 - \{(1 - R_1^2)(1-R_2^2)(1 - R_3^2)\}$$

$$Q_2 = 1 - \{(1 - 0.652)(1- 0.709)(1 - 0.809)\}$$

$$Q_2 = 0.9807$$

Based on the results of the Predictive Relevance $Q^2$ calculation, it can be explained that the research model was able to provide predictive accuracy of 98.07% (large), while 1.93% of other factors were not examined. That is, according to the value of $Q^2$, the model had a large degree of accuracy (Chin, 1998).

Evaluation of the accuracy of the research model, based on the Goodness of Fit criteria, was calculated using the following formulation:

$$GoF = \sqrt{(\text{average AVE} \times \text{average } R^2)}$$

$$GoF = \sqrt{(0.8413)\times (0.7233)}$$

$$GoF = 0.7801 \text{ (large)}$$

Based on the results of the GoF calculation, the model had a level of accuracy of 0.7801 (classified as large according to Akter et al., 2011). Based on the 3 accuracy test results, it can be concluded that the model had a great degree of accuracy, therefore its use in the hypothesis testing process was justified.

**Hypothesis Testing**

The research hypothesis testing was based on the results of analysis by the SmartPLS 3.3.2 program and the modified SmartPLS analysis results table (displayed in Figure 2 and Table 6, respectively).

![Figure 2. SmartPLS 3.3.2 analysis results (modified)](image)
Table 6. Path Coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Independent</th>
<th>Mediation</th>
<th>Dependent</th>
<th>Path Coefficient</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 1</td>
<td>CSR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Business Sustainability</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>No significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 2</td>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Customer Trust</td>
<td>Business Sustainability</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>No significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 3</td>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Customer Trust</td>
<td>Customer Business Loyalty</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 4</td>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Customer Trust</td>
<td>Business Sustainability</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 5</td>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Customer Loyalty</td>
<td>Customer Business Loyalty</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 6</td>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Customer Trust</td>
<td>Customer Loyalty</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>No significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 7</td>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Customer Trust</td>
<td>Business Sustainability</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>No significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 8</td>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Customer Loyalty</td>
<td>Customer Business Loyalty</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>No significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 9</td>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Customer Trust</td>
<td>Customer Loyalty</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Figure 2 and Table 6, it can be explained that corporate social sustainability had no significant effect on customer loyalty and business sustainability, because the p-value of each was greater than 0.05. Thus, Hypothesis 1 and Hypothesis 6 were rejected. Likewise, the effect of customer trust on business sustainability was not significant because the p-value was greater than 0.05, so Hypothesis 2 was also rejected. The indirect effect of CSR on business sustainability through customer trust and customer loyalty was also insignificant because the p-value was greater than 0.05, and so Hypothesis 7 and Hypothesis 8 were rejected. Of the 9 hypotheses proposed, only 4 hypotheses were accepted, namely Hypothesis 3 (effect of CSR on customer trust), Hypothesis 4 (effect of customer loyalty on business sustainability), Hypothesis 5 (effect of customer trust on customer loyalty), and Hypothesis 9 (indirect effect of CSR on business sustainability through customer trust and customer loyalty).

CONCLUSION

The results of the study found that business sustainability is a significant factor affecting customer loyalty. This finding is in line with the statements of Krumay and Brandtweiner (2010) and Khadka and Maharjan (2017): customer loyalty is the key to company success and profits in the long term. Utami concluded similarly, stating that customer loyalty can create competitive advantages, increase market share, and provide benefits in the long term. Ayodele and Esiti (2016) share this view; that loyalty can increase a company's competitive advantage and foster great potential for the continuation of sustainable growth. The results of this study are in line with the results of research by
Wong et al. (2019), who found that customer loyalty has a significant positive effect on business sustainability.

The results also found that customer trust and customer loyalty together act as a full mediator in the relationship between CSR and business sustainability. These findings prove that the combination of customer trust and customer loyalty can be a strong mediator in rendering the relationship between CSR and business sustainability significant. Azmat and Ha (2013) also state that higher customer loyalty and trust are recognized as important for businesses to gain a unique profit advantage in the market. A similar statement was also expressed by Ong and Zien (2015): customer trust and loyalty are very important to ensure sustainable income and profits for business sustainability.

Based on the results of this study, the researcher recommends that although it does not directly have a significant effect on business sustainability, it is important to constantly aim to increase and maintain customer trust. At the same time, companies should increase efforts to maintain and increase customer loyalty, as the results of this study clearly show that the combination of trust and loyalty is able to significantly support business sustainability.

Limitations and Future Research
This study was limited to only a sample of Rural Banks in Bali, such that it cannot be used to generalize across all Rural Banks in Bali; research still needs to develop outside of this area. Similarly, the variables included in the research model were limited to CSR, customer trust, and customer loyalty in relation to business sustainability. Business sustainability, especially in banking, is strongly influenced by internal and external factors. Therefore, future research could consider including more relevant variables in a research model, as well as expanding the scope of the research area; these two developments are expected to provide more accurate information.
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