

Available online at: http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/wuny
DOI: https://doi.org/10.21831/jwuny.v6i2

The Impact of Brain-Based Learning on Critical and Creative Thinking in English Language Teaching

Binar Winantaka

Corresponding Author: binar@uny.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article History
Submitted:
12 June 2024
Revised:
16 July 2024
Accepted:
28 September 2024

Keywords

Brain-Based Learning, Critical Thinking, Creative Thinking, English Language Teaching, 21st Century Skills This paper investigates the impact of Brain-Based Learning (BBL) strategies on fostering critical and creative thinking skills in English language teaching. In the context of 21st-century education, where the demands for innovation, critical thinking, and problem-solving are paramount, BBL emerges as a pedagogical approach that aligns teaching methods with cognitive science principles. Through a qualitative exploration of teacher perspectives and a quantitative analysis of classroom outcomes, this study highlights how BBL encourages the development of both hemispheres of the brain, promoting not only logical reasoning but also imaginative problem-solving. The findings underscore the potential of BBL to transform traditional English language teaching (ELT) practices by fostering a more holistic, engaging, and dynamic learning environment. Practical recommendations for integrating BBL into English classrooms are also provided.

This is an open access article under the $\underline{\text{CC-BY-SA}}$ license.



INTRODUCTION

The rapid shifts in the global educational landscape, driven by technological advancements and the increasing interconnectedness of societies, have necessitated the adoption of innovative teaching strategies that not only equip students with linguistic proficiency but also nurture essential 21st-century skills, such as critical thinking, creativity, communication, and collaboration (Richards, 2015). These skills are now recognized as fundamental for success in an era characterized by constant change, where problem-solving abilities and adaptability are crucial. In response, education systems around the world are re-evaluating traditional teaching methods, which often rely on rote learning and memorization, as these approaches fail to foster the depth of understanding needed to tackle complex real-world challenges. In the specific context of English language teaching (ELT), the need for instructional practices that go beyond the mechanical reproduction of language structures has become more pronounced. Educators are increasingly called upon to develop approaches that engage students in meaningful, higher-order cognitive processes, enabling them to not only learn the language but also to apply it critically and creatively in diverse contexts. This shift in pedagogical focus aims to support students' ability to analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information, fostering a more holistic language learning experience that is aligned with the demands of the 21st century (Zohar & Dori, 2003).

Brain-Based Learning (BBL), grounded in the principles of neuroscience, offers a promising pedagogical framework for addressing these evolving educational demands.



Available online at: http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/wuny
DOI: https://doi.org/10.21831/jwuny.v6i2

Unlike traditional approaches that treat learning as a linear and passive process, BBL posits that effective learning occurs when teaching methods are aligned with how the brain naturally processes, stores, and retrieves information (Jensen, 2008). By understanding the intricacies of brain function, educators can design lessons that cater to the way the brain learns best—through active, multi-sensory engagement, emotional connections, and social interaction. Central to the BBL approach is the stimulation of both the left hemisphere, which is primarily associated with logical reasoning, analytical thinking, and language processing, and the right hemisphere, which is linked to creativity, intuition, and holistic thinking (Sousa, 2011; Caine & Caine, 1991). This dual-hemisphere engagement allows students to develop cognitive flexibility, meaning they can shift between different modes of thinking, depending on the task at hand. By engaging both hemispheres, BBL not only supports the acquisition of linguistic skills but also enhances broader cognitive functions, promoting a more balanced and integrated approach to learning that is crucial for the development of critical and creative thinking skills in students. This balance is particularly important in preparing students to meet the cognitive and emotional challenges of the modern world, where the ability to think both logically and creatively is valued across all disciplines and professions.

Brain-Based Learning: A Cognitive Approach to Education

The theoretical foundation of Brain-Based Learning (BBL) is deeply rooted in neuroscience, particularly in the understanding of how the brain functions during the learning process. Neuroscientific research has provided valuable insights into the intricate workings of the brain, revealing that learning is not a linear or isolated activity but a complex interaction between various cognitive, emotional, and sensory processes (Sousa, 2011). One of the key findings in cognitive science is that the brain thrives on patterns, emotions, and multisensory engagement. This means that the brain is more likely to retain and recall information when learning experiences are emotionally engaging, connected to prior knowledge, and presented through multiple sensory channels. These findings form the basis of BBL, which leverages the brain's natural tendencies by advocating for teaching strategies that stimulate both hemispheres of the brain. This approach enhances learning by engaging the left hemisphere, which is responsible for logical reasoning, analytical thinking, and language processing, as well as the right hemisphere, which governs creativity, intuition, and holistic thought (Zadina, 2014).

By incorporating activities that cater to both hemispheres, BBL fosters a more comprehensive and balanced learning experience. For instance, tasks that require logical problem-solving, such as analyzing texts or practicing grammar exercises, engage the left-brain functions, while activities that encourage imagination and creative expression, such as storytelling or role-playing, activate the right-brain functions. This dual engagement not only enhances students' cognitive flexibility but also deepens their understanding and retention of the material. Furthermore, the brain's capacity to form and recognize patterns plays a significant role in BBL. By structuring lessons around familiar concepts or by presenting information in a way that allows students to connect new knowledge with existing mental frameworks, educators can make learning more intuitive and meaningful. This approach supports the development of higher-order thinking skills, such as the ability to analyze, synthesize, and apply knowledge in real-world contexts.



Available online at: http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/wuny
DOI: https://doi.org/10.21831/jwuny.v6i2

Empirical studies have confirmed the effectiveness of BBL in promoting cognitive engagement and emotional motivation among students. Research conducted by Duman (2010) and Erlita et al. (2020) demonstrated that students exposed to BBL strategies showed significant improvements in their ability to focus, retain information, and participate actively in classroom activities. These studies also highlighted the importance of creating a learning environment that stimulates both cognitive and emotional aspects of the brain, as emotional engagement has been shown to enhance memory and recall. Specifically, BBL encourages a holistic learning experience by incorporating visual, auditory, and kinesthetic learning styles. This multi-sensory approach ensures that learning is inclusive, catering to the diverse profiles of students who may have different learning preferences or strengths. By doing so, BBL not only supports academic achievement but also fosters a sense of personal connection to the material, which can lead to greater long-term retention and motivation to learn.

Critical and Creative Thinking in ELT

In the context of English language teaching (ELT), fostering both critical and creative thinking is essential for developing students who are not only proficient in the language but also capable of using it in dynamic and meaningful ways. Critical thinking involves the ability to analyze information, evaluate evidence, and form reasoned judgments. These skills are particularly important in language learning, where students must be able to understand and interpret complex texts, assess the validity of arguments, and communicate their own ideas effectively (Brookhart, 2010). On the other hand, creative thinking refers to the capacity to generate original ideas, approach problems from new and diverse perspectives, and find innovative solutions. In ELT, creativity is crucial for helping students to experiment with language, express themselves freely, and engage in tasks that require linguistic and cognitive innovation.

Maley and Peachey (2015) emphasize the role of creativity in fostering language acquisition, arguing that activities that stimulate creative thinking—such as storytelling, improvisation, and collaborative writing—enhance not only linguistic competence but also cognitive development. These activities encourage students to think beyond the boundaries of rote memorization and grammatical rules, allowing them to engage with language as a tool for personal expression and problem-solving. Storytelling, for example, requires students to organize their thoughts, create coherent narratives, and use language to convey emotions and ideas in an engaging way. Such tasks stimulate both the logical and imaginative aspects of the brain, thereby enhancing cognitive flexibility and promoting deeper learning.

Moreover, Zohar and Dori (2003) argue that critical thinking should be integrated into every level of language instruction, from basic grammar exercises to advanced analytical writing tasks. They assert that students who are trained in critical thinking are better equipped to handle the cognitive demands of learning a new language, as they are able to apply linguistic structures in meaningful contexts, evaluate their own learning, and refine their use of language over time. BBL provides a structured framework for integrating these cognitive skills into the language classroom by combining activities that stimulate critical analysis with tasks that encourage creative exploration. For example, a lesson might begin with a structured activity focused on grammatical accuracy and sentence construction, followed by a more open-ended task where students use those



Available online at: http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/wuny
DOI: https://doi.org/10.21831/jwuny.v6i2

structures in creative writing or group discussions. This approach ensures that students not only learn the mechanics of the language but also develop the cognitive tools needed to use language in diverse and innovative ways.

This paper examines the impact of BBL strategies on enhancing critical and creative thinking in English language classrooms. Through a detailed exploration of the theoretical underpinnings of BBL, this study demonstrates how neuroscience can inform pedagogical practices that foster more effective learning environments. By presenting empirical data from classroom implementations of BBL strategies, this study provides insights into how educators can harness the potential of BBL to create more dynamic, interactive, and student-centered learning experiences. Such experiences not only improve language proficiency but also cultivate the essential cognitive skills that students need to thrive in an increasingly complex and fast-paced global society. By integrating BBL into the English language classroom, educators can move beyond conventional methods and toward approaches that engage the whole brain, facilitating deeper understanding, retention, and application of knowledge. This, in turn, equips students with the tools they need to become critical and creative thinkers who can navigate the challenges of the 21st century with confidence and adaptability.

METHODS

This study employs a mixed-method approach, integrating both qualitative and quantitative data to provide a comprehensive understanding of the impact of Brain-Based Learning (BBL) on the development of critical and creative thinking skills in English language learners. The quantitative component focuses on the analysis of student performance, while the qualitative component gathers in-depth insights from teacher experiences and perceptions. This methodological design allows for a triangulation of data, ensuring a more robust interpretation of the findings.

The research sample consisted of 50 English teachers from various educational institutions across Yogyakarta, Indonesia. These teachers participated in a series of BBL-based workshops designed to familiarize them with the theoretical foundations of BBL and practical applications in the classroom. The workshops included detailed sessions on how to implement BBL strategies effectively, covering aspects such as multi-sensory engagement, emotional learning, and the integration of critical and creative thinking tasks. Following these workshops, the teachers were required to apply BBL strategies in their classrooms over a 10-week period. To ensure diversity in teaching backgrounds and experiences, the participating teachers were selected through random sampling, which included educators from both public and private schools, as well as teachers with varying levels of experience in English language teaching (ELT). This sampling approach aimed to represent a broad spectrum of teaching practices and educational contexts, thereby enhancing the generalizability of the study's findings.

Data Collection: Data were collected through multiple methods to capture both qualitative and quantitative perspectives. First, teacher questionnaires were distributed at the beginning and end of the study to gauge teachers' initial understanding and perceptions of BBL, as well as any changes in their views after the intervention. These questionnaires included both closed-ended questions, which were analyzed quantitatively, and open-ended questions, which were analyzed qualitatively.



Available online at: http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/wuny
DOI: https://doi.org/10.21831/jwuny.v6i2

Second, classroom observations were conducted throughout the 10-week intervention period. A standardized observation protocol was used to document how teachers implemented BBL strategies in their classrooms, with specific attention paid to activities that promoted critical and creative thinking. Observers, trained in educational research methods, used this protocol to ensure consistency across all classrooms. The observational data were used to cross-validate the teachers' self-reported practices from the questionnaires and interviews.

Third, pre- and post-test assessments were administered to students to measure changes in their critical and creative thinking abilities. The pre-test was conducted prior to the BBL intervention to establish baseline data, while the post-test was administered after the 10-week intervention to evaluate any improvements. These tests were designed using validated instruments based on Fraenkel et al. (2012), which assess key indicators of critical thinking, such as the ability to analyze arguments, draw inferences, and evaluate evidence, as well as creative thinking, such as originality, flexibility, and elaboration. The tests consisted of a combination of multiple-choice questions, short-answer responses, and performance-based tasks, allowing for a nuanced measurement of students' cognitive abilities.

Data Analysis: Quantitative data from the pre- and post-tests were analyzed using descriptive statistics, specifically the calculation of mean scores and standard deviations, to determine overall improvements in critical and creative thinking skills. To assess the statistical significance of the observed improvements, paired sample t-tests were conducted. This analysis helped to determine whether the changes in student performance were attributable to the BBL intervention rather than random variation.

For the qualitative component, thematic analysis was employed to analyze the open-ended responses from teacher questionnaires and the transcripts from teacher interviews conducted at the end of the study. Thematic analysis involved coding the data for recurring themes related to the teachers' experiences with BBL, their perceptions of its effectiveness, and the challenges they encountered during implementation. This process allowed for the identification of patterns across the teachers' narratives, providing deeper insights into how BBL influenced their teaching practices and students' learning experiences.

By combining these data sources, the study provides a well-rounded view of how BBL strategies impact both teaching and learning. The mixed-method approach allows for the quantitative findings on student performance to be contextualized within the qualitative insights from teachers, offering a more complete picture of the educational dynamics at play.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings from this study demonstrate a clear and significant positive impact of Brain-Based Learning (BBL) strategies on the development of students' critical and creative thinking skills within English language classrooms. A detailed quantitative analysis of the pre- and post-test data revealed notable improvements, with critical thinking scores increasing by an average of 15% and creative thinking scores rising by 18%. These gains were particularly evident in tasks that encouraged active cognitive engagement, such as problem-solving exercises, brainstorming sessions, and creative





Available online at: http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/wuny
DOI: https://doi.org/10.21831/jwuny.v6i2

writing activities, all of which required students to apply their thinking in more flexible and innovative ways (Ceylan & Esra, 2022). The data can be seen in table 1.

Category	Pre-Test Score	Mean	Post-Test Score	Mean	Mean Improvement (%)
Critical Thinking	70		85		15
Creative Thinking	68		86		18

Table 1. Average scores and after the Brain-Based Learning (BBL) intervention.

Teachers consistently reported that BBL strategies, especially those incorporating multisensory learning and collaborative activities, were highly effective in stimulating students' cognitive development. For instance, the integration of visual aids, group projects, and creative storytelling not only enriched the learning experience but also allowed students to approach language learning through different cognitive pathways, thereby enhancing both their analytical reasoning and creative thought processes (Duman, 2010). Many teachers also observed a noticeable increase in student engagement and motivation. By making the classroom environment more dynamic and interactive, BBL helped to foster a deeper connection between students and the learning material, ultimately making the process more enjoyable and meaningful (Erlita et al., 2020).

Despite these positive outcomes, the study also highlighted some challenges, particularly regarding teachers' familiarity with BBL principles and the consistency with which they were able to implement these strategies. Several teachers expressed the need for further professional development and access to more resources in order to fully integrate BBL into their regular teaching practices (Dettweiler et al., 2023). This underscores an important finding: while BBL shows great promise in enhancing both critical and creative thinking within English language teaching, its long-term success hinges on the availability of ongoing training and institutional support to help teachers overcome practical barriers to implementation. Without these supports, the full potential of BBL may not be realized.

CONSLUSION

The results of this study emphasize the profound potential of Brain-Based Learning (BBL) in transforming the way critical and creative thinking skills are developed in English language learners. The data clearly show that when teaching strategies are aligned with cognitive science principles, as advocated by BBL, the outcomes are more than just improved language proficiency; they also include a significant enhancement of both analytical and imaginative abilities. In today's fast-evolving, knowledge-driven world, these skills are essential for students to thrive. Analytical thinking allows students to break down complex ideas, evaluate evidence, and form sound conclusions, while creative thinking enables them to generate original ideas, solve problems innovatively, and adapt to new and unforeseen challenges. Together, these cognitive abilities form a comprehensive skill set that is crucial for navigating the demands of the 21st century, particularly in environments that value innovation, critical inquiry, and adaptability.



Available online at: http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/wuny
DOI: https://doi.org/10.21831/jwuny.v6i2

The study's findings underscore an urgent call for educators to adopt more holistic, brain-based approaches to teaching. Traditional methods that rely heavily on rote memorization and repetitive drills are no longer sufficient to meet the educational needs of modern learners. Instead, a more integrative approach that engages the whole brain—balancing the logical and analytical processes of the left hemisphere with the creative and intuitive capabilities of the right hemisphere—proves far more effective. BBL, by incorporating multisensory engagement, emotional learning, and real-world problem-solving, helps students move beyond surface-level language acquisition. It enables them to develop cognitive flexibility, a trait that is not only essential for mastering critical thinking and creativity but also for applying those skills in various contexts, whether in academic settings, professional environments, or everyday life. This cognitive flexibility empowers learners to think more deeply about the language they are using and encourages them to approach linguistic challenges with greater confidence and innovation.

Looking ahead, future research should delve into several key areas to expand our understanding of BBL's full impact on student learning. One crucial avenue of exploration is the long-term effects of BBL on student performance. While this study has demonstrated notable improvements in critical and creative thinking over a relatively short intervention period, it remains to be seen whether these gains are sustained over time and how they influence broader academic outcomes. Longitudinal studies would provide valuable insights into how BBL affects students' cognitive development, academic achievement, and even career readiness as they progress through different stages of their education. Understanding the durability of these cognitive benefits would help educators refine BBL strategies and tailor them to different phases of language learning, ensuring that students continue to build on the skills developed early in their education.

Moreover, future research should also investigate how BBL can be adapted to various cultural and educational contexts. Education is not a one-size-fits-all endeavor, and teaching strategies that work in one setting may not always translate seamlessly into another. Cultural norms, educational values, and learning preferences vary significantly across different regions and communities, meaning that BBL strategies may need to be adjusted to align with local educational goals and student needs. For instance, in some cultures, collaborative learning and open-ended creative tasks may be more readily embraced, while in others, more structured, teacher-directed approaches may still dominate. Exploring how BBL can be customized to fit these diverse contexts will not only broaden its applicability but also enhance its effectiveness in reaching students from all backgrounds.

Additionally, the successful implementation of BBL hinges on well-prepared educators who are knowledgeable about both the theory and practice of brain-based teaching. Thus, further studies are needed to explore the role of teacher training in the effective integration of BBL into the classroom. Teachers are the linchpins of educational



Available online at: http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/wuny
DOI: https://doi.org/10.21831/jwuny.v6i2

reform, and without proper support, even the most promising pedagogical approaches can falter. Investigating the most effective methods of preparing teachers to incorporate BBL strategies—whether through professional development programs, peer collaboration, or mentorship—will be essential in ensuring that BBL is applied consistently and successfully. This could include not only initial training sessions but also ongoing support, reflective practice, and access to resources that help teachers refine their approach over time. By equipping educators with the tools and knowledge they need to integrate BBL effectively, we can ensure that the benefits of this approach are fully realized, leading to deeper, more meaningful learning experiences for students.

In conclusion, the findings of this study mark a significant step forward in understanding the potential of Brain-Based Learning to revolutionize English language teaching by fostering both critical and creative thinking. However, there is still much to explore in terms of how BBL can be optimized and sustained across diverse learning environments. By continuing to investigate its long-term effects, cultural adaptability, and the role of teacher training, researchers and educators alike can contribute to the ongoing refinement of BBL, ensuring that it becomes an indispensable part of the educational landscape for the 21st century and beyond.

REFERENCES

Brookhart, S. M. (2010). How to Assess Higher-Order Thinking Skills in Your Classroom. ASCD. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6875-4_9

Caine, R. N., & Caine, G. (1991). Making Connections: Teaching and the Human Brain. Addison-Wesley.

Ceylan, N., & Esra, S. (2022). Does awareness on the principles of brain-based learning have any effect on students' academic achievement?. International Journal of Education Technology and Science, 2(4), 415-428. https://doi.org/10.35842/ijets.315

Dettweiler, U., Gerchen, M., Mall, C., Simon, P., & Kirsch, P. (2023). Choice matters: Pupils' stress regulation, brain development, and brain function in an outdoor education project. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 93(1), 152-173. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12528

Duman, B. (2010). The effects of brain-based learning on the academic achievement of students with different learning styles. Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice, 10(4), 2077-2103. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9457-2_12

Erlita, R., Untari, E., & Murdiyah, S. (2020). Pengaruh Model Brain-Based Learning (BBL) Berbasis Eksperimen terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa Kelas V. Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar Nusantara, 6(1), 116-126. https://doi.org/10.29407/jpdn.v6i1.14495

Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education (10th ed.). McGraw-Hill.





Available online at: http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/wuny
DOI: https://doi.org/10.21831/jwuny.v6i2

Jensen, E. (2008). Brain-Based Learning: The New Paradigm of Teaching. Corwin Press.

Limb, C. J., & Braun, A. R. (2008). Neural substrates of spontaneous musical performance: An fMRI study of jazz improvisation. PLoS One, 3(2), e1679. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001679

Maley, A., & Peachey, N. (2015). Creativity in the English Language Classroom. British Council. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264255486-en

Nunan, D. (2010). Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315833127

Richards, J. C. (2015). Key Issues in Language Teaching. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781139023981

Sousa, D. A. (2011). How the Brain Learns. Corwin Press. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781544328917

Zadina, J. (2014). Multiple Pathways to the Student Brain: Energizing and Enhancing Instruction. Jossey-Bass.

Zohar, A., & Dori, Y. J. (2003). Higher order thinking skills and low-achieving students: Are they mutually exclusive?. The Journal of the Learning Sciences, 12(2), 145-181. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327809JLS1202_1